

in re Application of:

Klaus DUCKER et al.

Serial No.: 10/088,676

Group Art Unit: 1652

Filed: March 21, 2002

Examiner: M. RAO

For: A NOVEL MEMBER OF THE HEPARANASE PROTEIN FAMILY

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 2004

RESUBMISSION OF RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT OF JUNE 19, 2003

Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached is another copy of the response filed June 19, 2003, along with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office date-stamped card, proving its timely filing.

No fee is believed to be due with this response, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969

Attorney for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400 2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22201 Telephone: (703) 243-6333

Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Date: February 17, 2004



No fee is believed to be due with this response, however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony J. Zelano, Reg. No. 27,969

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Nicole E. Kinsey, Reg. No.50,723

Agent for Applicant(s)

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 2004

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400 2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22201 Telephone: (703) 243-6333

Date: June 19, 2003

Facsimile: (703) 243-6410



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Klaus DUCKER et al.

Serial No.: 10/088,676

Group Art Unit: 1652

Filed: March 21, 2002

Examiner: M. RAO

For: A NOVEL MEMBER OF THE HEPARANASE PROTEIN FAMILY

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

RECEIVED

Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FEB 2 4 2004

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated May 29, 2003, applicants hereby elect with traverse Group I (claims 1-9).

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of the claims does have unity of invention. For example, the antibodies of Group II were made by immunizing an animal with the polypeptides of Group I (i.e., the antibodies of Group II are specific to the polypeptides of Group I). Furthermore, a search of the claims of Groups I-III would be co-extensive, and would not represent a serious search burden for the patent office. Absent a serious burden of examination, restriction is not proper. See MPEP § 803. Thus, the requirement should be withdrawn.



RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 2004

SERIAL NO. <u>10/088,676</u>

DOCKET NO. MERCK 2393

| FILED March 21, 2002 | APPLICANT(S) <u>DUCKER et al.</u> |
|---|---|
| THE PTO STAMP HEREON ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE FOLLOWING PAPERS FILED ON: 6/19/03 FILED BY CERTIFICATE OF MAILING ON: | |
| FEES \$; Check No MISSING PARTS RESPONSE W/DECL. REPLY RESPONSE ELECTION NOTICE OF APPEAL APPEAL BRIEF (TRIPLICATE) ASSIGNMENT EXTENSION OF TIME PRIORITY DOCUMENT(S) VERIFIED STATEMENT(S) UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.9 & 1.27 CONTINUATION PROSECUTION APPLICATION (CPA) | STATEMENT OF USE ISSUE FEE DECLARATION UNDER INFORMATION DISCLOSURE PTO 1449 W/REFS. COPY OF MISSING PARTS RENEWAL APPLICATION LETTER TO DRAFTSMAN STATUS INQUIRY APPLICATION DATA SHEET |
| OTHER | |

Initials: NEK/brs

DKHd 6/19/03-12